1. Eye movements and reading speech

The reader and the reading processes have been studied for years in a psycholinguistic perspective and a lot is known about what is involved (Perfetti, 1999; Vellutino, 2006). However, there is still much to learn concerning interrelated processes and behaviors, which have been separately studied. Silent reading and reading aloud inform us in different ways about linguistic processing, comprehension and fluency. Tools and measures to deal with underlying cognitive processes are specific for each modality.

Eye movements provide measures that can indicate some linguistic properties of the text (Rayner et al. 2005):
- First fixation in a word varies according to orthographic, phonological and morphological properties, reflecting specific processes to visual word recognition.
- First pass, which includes FF and other fixations before moving the eyes to right or left regions, could tap the processes involved in lexical access of less frequent or longer words, required for their integration in a larger meaning or structural unit.
- Total time of word fixation, including all fixations in a word, must reflect word integration in a semantic-meaningful mental representation, and can be taken as a window for wrap-up effects.

Reading speech, or speech produced in reading aloud, is strongly constrained by the communicative context, reader experience and text properties, such as vocabulary, syntactic structures, punctuation and layout. In reading speech, prosody is an important window to study phrasing processes and to relate them with syntactic parsing. To identify prosodic boundaries as important loci to study linguistic processing we consider two acoustic parameters (Gussenhoven & Rietveld 1992):
- Stressed vowel length, as a marker indicating the proximity of a high level prosodic boundary: the longer the time vowel duration is, the higher the boundary.
- Fundamental frequency of the stressed vowel, as an indicator of the syntactic position of the word: the more the word is embedded in the phrase or sentence the higher frequency on the stressed vowel.

2. Hypothesis

H1 Text complexity has an impact on visual reading time (fixations) and on reading speech time (speech and silent pauses).

H2 Syntactic and discursive

H3 Variables from eye movements

3. Experiment

Aims
- Identify processes of linguistic information integration undergoing in oral reading for understanding.
- Verify the effect of linguistic and discursive properties of texts on language processing, considering their complexity level.
- Find related measures of prosody in reading aloud and in eye movements in order to contribute for reading fluency assessment and teaching.

Participants
17 European Portuguese native female speakers, students, proficient readers.

Procedure
Eye movements were recorded with a SMI IVIEW X™ HI-SPEED system, at a 1250Hz speed, and sound was recorded with Logitech® Webcam Pro 9000.

Stimuli were divided, for presentation, into two blocks of text, font in size 22, Courier New, with two paragraphs spacing between rows, in a 17-inch screen. After reading each text participants answered a multiple-choice questionnaire, thus ensuring a reading comprehension task.

Stimuli
Two informative texts, similar in word number (200), syntactic and information structure and layout:

CO: An easy text about a Lisbon neighborhood

ITA: A hard text about thermo-acoustic proofing

Thematic dimension as well as some word properties crucially contribute to differentiate the level of complexity of texts.

Word properties in each text were controlled considering: number of syllables, type of syllables and word frequency, with tools for automatic linguistic processing – FreP and CRPC (Graph 1, 2 and 3).

4. Results

Results for text

Eye movements
- Results show a strong effect of Text, with significant differences between the 2 texts in all dependent variables (FF p<0.02; FP p=0.01; TTF p<0.001), with lower times in CO than in ITA (Graph 4).

Reading speech
- We find a Text effect in SVL (Graph 5) with lower values in CO than in ITA (p<0.001).

Results for Syntactic and Discursive positions

Eye movements
- Position effect in FP is clear when comparing SN and DB (p<0.001), SB and DB (p<0.001), with longer reading times for DB in both cases. A Position effect is consistently registered in TTF: SN higher than SB (p<0.03) and lower than DB (p<0.001); SB lower than DB (p<0.001) (Graph 4).

Reading speech
- There is no Position effect in SVL, however we find an interaction between Text and Position in SVL (p<0.001).
- Considering F0 declination in European Portuguese, an expected Position effect in F0 is verified, being SN higher than SB (p<0.001) and than DB (p=0.001), and SB higher than DB (p<0.001) (Graph 6).

5. Conclusions

The different complexity of the two texts and its impact on linguistic processing was confirmed. The hypothesis of readers’ responsiveness to linguistic and discursive complexity is sustained by evidences coming from speech and eyes.

Discursive Boundary is the locus where processes related with structural building ending and prosodic phrasing occur; the eyes take longer to complete the structure and possibly resume prior information, while speech signals the most embedded nominal head of an NP with higher F0, which declines as the information is being integrated, with the lowest values on the periphery of the sentence.

Lengthening of the stressed vowel and first fixation seem to be responsive to lexical properties. Fundamental frequency and First Pass seem to reveal structural building, integration of information and possibly wrap-up effects.
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